

Risk Management Strategy



Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Risk Management Strategy | 3 |
| Purpose | 3 |
| 2. Introduction to Risk Management | 4 |
| Benefits to Risk Management | 4 |
| Common Process Barriers | 4 |
| Key Terminology..... | 5 |
| 3. Risk Management Process | 7 |
| Predict Software | 7 |
| Contextual Analysis..... | 8 |
| Identification of Risks (Threats & Opportunities) | 8 |
| Risk Categories | 8 |
| Risk Description..... | 10 |
| Risk Evaluation..... | 10 |
| 5x5 Risk Matrix and Scoring | 11 |
| Risk Analysis | 12 |
| Risk Treatment..... | 12 |
| Treatment Response Strategy (TRS) for Threats | 12 |
| Treatment Response Strategy (TRS) for Opportunities..... | 12 |
| Monitor and Control | 13 |
| Risk Communication..... | 13 |
| 4. Risk Reporting | 14 |
| 5. Issue Management | 17 |
| Issue Management Process | 17 |
| 6. Reference | 19 |
| 7. Appendices | 20 |

Version Control

| Version | Author/Updated by | Date Updated | Next Review Due |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Version 1 | Haddy Njie | April 2018 | |
| Version 2 | Haddy Njie | September 2019 | |
| Version 3 | Daniella Della-Cerra-Smith | April 2022 | September 2022 |

1. Risk Management Strategy

Purpose

- 1.1. The Risk Management Strategy (RMS) aims to support the strategic objectives of the business and sets out Transport for the North's (TfN) approach to risk management. It provides guidance in its application for the management of risk by describing TfN's:
 - Risk Management Process;
 - Risk Management Reporting;
 - Issues Management
- 1.2. The document is intended to act as a communication and management tool to ensure TfN's Programmes and Directorates have clarity regarding:
- 1.3. The Risk Management Processes to be adopted;
 - Scales of probability and impact and the tools to be used;
 - Reporting of risk and the timing of risk management activity.
- 1.4. Not all risks can be eliminated, but staff and senior management should be aware of the risks affecting TfN's Programmes and Directorates in order for the risks to be understood and, where possible, managed and mitigated.
- 1.5. The RMS supports key principles in the following ways:
 - **Building trust and respect:** by being open about our risks, we can build trust and respect
 - **Delivering our promises:** by managing risk we can deliver our commitments

2. Introduction to Risk Management

- 2.1. TfN faces a wide range of risks (both threats and opportunities) at all levels across the organisation. The nature of TfN's activity means that not only is risk management central to the achievement of its strategic objectives, but the process by which it addresses risk (related to its activity) has the potential to achieve sustained benefits across the full portfolio of projects and programmes.
- 2.2. The focus of good risk management is the identification and management of risk. Management of risk involves the systematic application of methods and practices to the tasks of identifying, estimating, and evaluating risks. This in turn allows mitigation measures to be identified and implemented. This provides a disciplined environment for proactive decision-making.
- 2.3. The Risk Management Strategy will provide the framework for managing risk in a consistent manner and raises awareness of the need for effective risk management. Adopting the RMS will support the aim of integrating risk management into working arrangements so that risks are proactively identified and managed.
- 2.4. Furthermore, the approach to Risk Management will involve identifying and realising potential opportunities. This process is designed to encourage the consideration of opportunities and the communication of these within TfN.

Benefits to Risk Management

- 2.5. Proactive Risk Management provides a number of primary and secondary benefits including:
 - Reduced exposure to the negative impacts of uncertainties;
 - Confidence in achieving targets and maximising outputs and outcomes through improved understanding of uncertainties;
 - Risks owned by parties and/ or individuals best able to manage them; and
 - Facilitation of effective communication across the organisation.

Common Process Barriers

- 2.6. It is recognised that there are barriers and constraints common to the implementation and embedding of risk management.
- 2.7. The most common barriers to successful risk management are:
 - Lack of time or resources allocated to risk management;
 - Lack of risk strategy, process, or plans;
 - Lack of a senior risk champion;
 - Lack of training, knowledge or formal risk tools or techniques;
 - Lack of 'buy-in' from the teams;
 - Lack of clear guidance for managers or staff.
- 2.8. It is the responsibility of managers, assisted by the Risk Manager, to reduce the likelihood of encountering these barriers whenever possible. Where obstacles arise that are beyond the ability of the manager to address, it is important to

escalate it to the Risk Manager who will work with the Programme Manager to take appropriate action.

Key Terminology

2.9. The key risk management terminology referred to in this document are as follows:

- **Risk:** Defined as an uncertain event or set of events that, should it occur, will have an effect on the achievement of objectives. Such an event that potentially creates a benefit for the organisation is termed as an opportunity (+ve risk) while an event with a potentially negative impact is viewed as a threat (-ve risk).
- **Risk Management:** The identification, evaluation, analysis, treatment and reporting of uncertainties, threats and opportunities associated with Project, Programme, Directorate and Portfolio objectives.
- **Risk Culture:** Refers to an organisation's set of attitudes, values, and knowledge of risk management. An effective risk culture rewards individuals for taking the right risks in an informed manner.
- **Risk Cause:** A description of the source of the risk, i.e. the event or situation that gives rise to the risk.
- **Risk Impact:** The extent of the adverse or positive effect on objectives.
- **Risk Proximity:** Defined as short-term, medium-term, and long-term, risk proximity is a prioritisation technique which assists risk owners in deciding the risks upon which to focus mitigation and management activity.
- **Risk Probability/ Likelihood:** The possibility of a risk event occurring.
- **Risk Score / Profile:** A comparative indication of how serious the risk is likely to be.
- **Inherent Risk:** Refers to the (gross) risk position. That is, before any mitigation measures / controls are in place.
- **Current Risk:** Refers to the managed level of risk. That is, the existing level of risk, taking into account current controls/mitigation measures put in place.
- **Target Risk:** Refers to the ultimate level of risk that is desired by the organization, when planned controls and mitigation actions have been implemented.
- **Mitigation:** Measures/ actions taken to reduce the possibility of the risk event occurring.
- **Secondary Risk:** Risk that arises as a result of implementing a particular risk mitigation measure.
- **Predict:** Risk management software implemented by TfN to record, review, update and manage all risks.
- **RAG:** Refers to a traffic light system (Red, Amber, Green) used in denoting the severity of a risk.
- **Risk Register:** The document which holds specific information regarding each risk associated with individual programmes/directorates.
- **Risk Reference:** The unique number given to each risk on the risk register.
- **Risk Owner:** The relevant individual with responsibility for ensuring identified risks are managed effectively in accordance with the RMS.

- **Risk Transfer:** The movement from a risk owner to another appointed owner who is better placed to manage the risk.
- **Risk Closeout:** Where a risk/ potential risk event is no longer valid and has been formally shutdown.
- **Early Warning:** An advanced indication that a potential risk is about to materialise, allowing appropriate measures to be adopted.
- **Qualitative Risk Report (QRR):** Refers to a detailed qualitative evaluation of risks on a programme. The report highlights the current risk position taking into account the spread of risks on a Probability and Impact Matrix.
- **Issue:** A risk that has materialised and which is affecting the programme, requiring immediate resolution through a management response.
- **Assumption:** A “logical belief” rather than a matter of proof. Assumptions may include exclusions from programme scope, estimates or budgets.
- **SWOT:** Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats – A strategic planning or evaluation technique.
- **PESTLE:** refers to Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Legal and Environmental – an analytical technique useful for decision-making.

3. Risk Management Process

- 3.1. The primary purpose of the Risk Management Process (RMP) is to identify the effect of uncertainty on programme and business objectives and to formulate and implement measures to reduce or optimise the effects. In addition, an appropriately functioning RMS is a key driver for fostering effective communication and decision-making.

Predict Software

- 3.2. Predict is the Risk Management system, which TfN has adopted to record, review update, and manage risks across the organisation from corporate to project and programme level. It provides a central location for Risk Management and provides visibility and transparency across the organisation.
- 3.3. Training for Predict is provided to new TfN employees. If there is a version update it is communicated to all users and training will be provided.
- 3.4. If staff have a query in regards to use of the system, they can email risksystem@transportfornorth.com for support.
- 3.5. All risks, issues, opportunities and actions are recorded into the Predict software and are reviewed and updated on a monthly basis during risk workshops. Progress comments should be provided as an audit trail.
- 3.6. Risk Management is an iterative process through which risks are continually identified, assessed, and managed. The process will be facilitated by the Risk Manager. The process is not dependent upon the Risk Manager's presence, and members of staff are encouraged to consider risk management throughout the delivery of their activities.
- 3.7. Adopting industry best practice, the Risk Management Process is subdivided into six key steps listed below:
- Contextual Analysis;
 - Identification of Risks;
 - Risk Evaluation;
 - Risk Analysis;
 - Risk Treatment;
 - Monitor & Control
- 3.8. Collectively, these steps form a logical sequence, necessary for the adoption of a robust approach to the implementation of risk management. As represented below, the steps are represented as an iterative process, as it will be common for the entire process to be completed a number of times during the life of a business activity.




Figure 1: TfN's Risk Management Process

Contextual Analysis

3.9. This step requires the manager to collate the maximum amount of information with regard to the scope of the activity, thus enabling the identification of risks that may have an impact upon TfN's objectives. Information collated will assist in defining appropriate probability and impact scoring.

Identification of Risks (Threats & Opportunities)

3.10. Risk identification sets out to identify the exposure to uncertainty. This process should identify sources of risk (upside and downside) where these are deemed to have an effect. Risks will be identified against the explicit activity objectives identified during Contextual Analysis. As such, a comprehensive list of risks, known as a risk register, will be developed.

3.11. Opportunities need to be identified along with threats. Each item will be identified by category and given a distinct risk reference.

3.12. It is important that risk identification is conducted as a group activity that considers the opinions of relevant team members and, where appropriate, Subject Matter Experts (SME). This process should be facilitated by the Risk Manager (unless otherwise agreed with the Risk Manager).

Risk Categories

3.13. The categorisation of risks in the table below will enable risks to be classified and assessed consistently across the organisation.

3.14. The following categories should be considered when assessing risks:

| Item | Risk Category | Description / Risks associated with |
|------|---|---|
| 1 | Corporate Objectives | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corporate objectives relate to possible risks (threats and opportunities) that may either hinder or enhance the chances of successfully achieving TfN's business plan. • Risks such as funding / delivery management, integrated transport strategy and transport solutions • Reputation and political environments are also to be considered. |
| 2 | Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resources concentrate on the availability and engagement of appropriately skilled people (internal and external) and their ability to collaborate in the successful delivery of programmes. • Skills and experience, knowledge, and talent development of the existing workforce are all considered. • Tools including equipment, office space, IT, etc., are risks that also fall under this category. |
| 3 | Commissioning, Commercial and Financial / Funding | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commissioning risks, include the ability to develop effective procurement and contracting strategies, and secure appropriate commercial agreements. • Commercial risks include an understanding of market or industry factors affecting the delivery and operations, and the contractual arrangements necessary to deliver successful outcomes. • Financial/ Funding risks include effective costing, budget estimating and cost control. Affordability and value for money are also key considerations, as well as the associated risks of the funding of projects and programmes. |
| 4 | Technical Performance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risks include the specification, design, build, commissioning and testing of project/ programme deliverables (e.g., new assets, processes, etc.) • Technical performance also includes how well the solutions are performing and delivering expected benefits. |
| 5 | Public, Media and Stakeholders | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public, Media and Stakeholder related risks encompass the requirements and influences of Partners, Customers, Campaigners and associated reputational risks. |
| 6 | Legislation and Regulation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These risks relate parliamentary/ legislative processes, and the requirements of central government and transport regulators that may possibly impact on TfN's objectives. • This category also includes the risk of changes in political policy, support, and regulations. |
| 7 | Governance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Governance category looks at the risks in relation to effective and efficient decision making, particularly in respect of TfN's responsibility as an STB for determining investment priorities across the North of England. |
| 8 | Delivery Partners | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This category includes for commissioning related risks in respect of TfN's relationships with its third-party delivery Partners, including Network Rail, Highways England, and HS2. |

| | | |
|----------|------------------|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interdependencies between the separate organisations' objectives and timescales are also considered. |
| 9 | Service Delivery | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service delivery – or operational – risks include those factors that may hinder and/ or enhance TfN's current operations and future services. • In addition, service functionality and the opportunity to add value to customers and the wider public is also a consideration. |

Table 1: Risk Categories

3.15. Further risk categories may be established at any time in consultation with the Risk Manager.

Risk Description

3.16. An accurate risk description (i.e. describing a risk in a structured manner) should be formed of three parts as follows:

- The Risk: Defined as an uncertain event or set of events that, should it occur, will have an effect on the achievement of objectives;
- Risk Cause: A description of the source of the risk, i.e. the event or situation giving rise to the risk;
- Risk Impact: The extent of the adverse or positive effect on objectives.

Risk Evaluation

3.17. Risk evaluation is the process of assessing the probability and impact of individual risks. The exercise enables the risk identifier to prioritise risks in order to establish a most-to-least-severity ranking.

3.18. When a risk is identified, an estimate of the probability of the risk occurring and the likely impact needs to be determined.

3.19. Probability is the evaluated likelihood of the identified risk occurring.

3.20. Impact is the evaluated effect or result of a particular risk occurring. Impact should ideally be considered under the elements of:

- Time
- Financial
- Reputation
- Quality
- Benefit
- People/ Resource

3.21. For example, there may be a "Low" probability of damage to a relationship with a key delivery partner, but enormous reputation impact may result if the risk occurs. Conversely, a "High" probability risk of a systems failure may not have a major impact on the business.

5x5 Risk Matrix and Scoring

- 3.22. A Probability Impact Matrix (PIM), as illustrated below, is a tool that allows risk severity to be calculated. Risks are plotted according to the probability of occurrence and the impact upon an activity should the risk happen.
- 3.23. The qualitative risk ranking (risk score) will be generated by multiplying the probability with the maximum of the impacts for each risk. The risks with the highest scores will be reported for review and decision-making.

| | | THREAT SCORING | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| PROBABILITY | 75 – 100% | Very High 5 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 20 | 25 |
| | 51 – 74% | High 4 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 18 | 20 |
| | 26 – 50% | Medium 3 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 19 |
| | 6 – 25% | Low 2 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 17 |
| | ≤5% | Very Low 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 |
| | | | Very Low 1 | Low 2 | Medium 3 | High 4 | Very High 5 |
| | | IMPACTS | | | | | |

Table 2: Probability Impact Matrix for Risks

| Score | | Threat response |
|-----------|---------|---|
| Very High | 19 – 25 | Focus Terminate, treat, transfer, fallback planning |
| High | 14 – 18 | Control Terminate, treat, transfer |
| Medium | 7 – 13 | Control/ Monitor Terminate, treat, transfer, tolerate |
| Low | 1 - 6 | Monitor Tolerate |

Table 3: Threat Scoring

| | | OPPORTUNITY SCORING | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| PROBABILITY | 75 – 100% | Very High 5 | -5 | -10 | -17 | -20 | -25 |
| | 51 – 74% | High 4 | -4 | -8 | -14 | -18 | -20 |
| | 26 – 50% | Medium 3 | -3 | -7 | -10 | -15 | -19 |
| | 6 – 25% | Low 2 | -2 | -6 | -8 | -11 | -17 |
| | ≤5% | Very Low 1 | -1 | -2 | -4 | -8 | -13 |
| | | | Very Low 1 | Low 2 | Medium 3 | High 4 | Very High 5 |
| | | IMPACTS | | | | | |

Table 4: Probability Impact Matrix for Opportunities

| Score | |
|-----------|-----------|
| Very High | -19 - -25 |
| High | -14 - -18 |
| Medium | -7 - -13 |
| Low | -1 - -6 |

Table 5: Opportunity Matrix

Risk Analysis

- 3.24. As the organisation matures in its approach to Risk Management, further risk analysis will be undertaken to determine the aggregated effect of the threats and opportunities on an activity. This will include consideration of any interdependencies or mutual exclusivity between risks.
- 3.25. Detailed analysis will be conducted by way of a quantified risk assessment (QRA), using recognised risk tools and software with the capability to build probabilistic risk models to produce risk analysis and allow greater confidence in the assessment of risks. These analyses will be facilitated by the Risk Manager who will produce the QRA results.

Risk Treatment (also referred to as Mitigation Actions)

- 3.26. This is the process of selecting the most suitable response strategy to the management of individual or groups of risks. These are applied to both threats and opportunities. Appropriate ownership will be identified in the risk register for all risks, together with the associated mitigating actions.
- 3.27. A mitigation response strategy is a key stage in the management of risks. It is the process by which a programme decides how and by whom risks will be managed. For example, programme teams may agree to transfer a particular risk from one team to another.

Treatment Response Strategy (TRS) for Threats

| TRS | Description |
|-----------|--|
| Tolerate | Accept the threat without any further action. |
| Treat | An action taken to minimise both the probability and impact of risk. |
| Transfer | Transfer risk to another programme / party. |
| Terminate | Choose a different option to completely eliminate the threat. |
| Share | Share the risk with another programme / third party. |

Table 6: Treatment Response Strategy (Threats)

Treatment Response Strategy (TRS) for Opportunities

| TRS | Description |
|---------|--|
| Enhance | Action taken to increase the probability or impact of the opportunity occurring. |
| Exploit | Take action to ensure the opportunity happens, and the outcome is optimised. |
| Share | Share the opportunity with another programme / third party. |
| Accept | Accept the opportunity and move on. |

Table 7: Treatment Response Strategy (Opportunities)

Monitor and Control

3.28. This is the process by which the risk planning measures are monitored and controlled. Usually conducted as part of regular risk reviews, the monitoring activity will enable the generation of Action Reports and an updated Qualitative Risk Report. The output of this process step will allow for corrective action to be taken should the risk planning measures be judged as not working effectively and thus further actions may be required.

Risk Communication

3.29. In support of the six steps outlined above, the effective communication of risk is the process whereby risk information is shared amongst relevant parties in a consistent manner, thus promoting and enhancing a coordinated approach to Risk Management.

3.30. Any programme's exposure to risk evolves over its lifecycle and continuous effective communication is therefore critical to the identification of new threats and opportunities or changes within the programme. In particular, the identification of new risks depends upon the maintenance of good communication networks. It is imperative that management engages with staff across the programme and ensures stakeholders have:

- Clearly defined roles and responsibilities;
- Clear and precise understanding of the risk escalation channels;
- Good knowledge of transferred lessons learned.

4. Risk Reporting

- 4.1. An efficient and effective risk reporting process allows management to be informed regarding key threats and opportunities that requires attention at a higher level, and the results of the risk assessments may be presented in a variety of formats depending on the stakeholder audience and reporting needs. Generally, key risks are presented in the form of graphs and tables with the most probable outcome plotted for a range of values and probabilities.
- 4.2. The reporting structure shown below outlines the different reporting audiences for the reporting of risks. It is important to note that given the unique nature of TfN's various programmes and governance structures, there are some projects and programmes that report risks through external governance such as the NPR Working Group and Programme Board. However, as a corporate body, the overarching risk reporting diagram illustrates the required risk governance that the organisation has adopted.
- 4.3. For governance and transparency reasons, programme risks will be managed and reported within programmes. As TfN uses programme information to identify cross cutting risk themes that are sufficiently significant, either in their own right or in aggregate, to be reported to and discussed by the Operating Board as risks requiring corporate focus. Furthermore, critical challenges and issues which may require the attention of senior executives will be escalated to TfN's Operating Board (OBT).
- 4.4. In accordance with Transport for the North's constitution, paragraph 19.3 makes TfN's Finance Director is "responsible for discharging the functions of the responsible financial officer under the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulation 2015, including ensuring risk is appropriately managed". Furthermore, paragraph 63.4.11 emphasises that "the Operating Board manages the risk management arrangements to ensure the appropriate management of risks" is in place across the organisation. Consequently, programme and corporate risks will be reported to TfN Operating Board to assist decision-making and to allow it to consider the reporting of risks to our members and partners.
- 4.5. Conversely, it is imperative for strategic risks identified at the corporate level to be shared across programmes to ensure a "bottom up" and "top down" risk awareness prevails.




Figure 2: TfN's Reporting Structure

- 4.6. **Project and Programme Board** - Based on an agreed tolerance, the programme teams must report high severity risks that might impact the delivery of programme objectives to their respective board members.
- 4.7. **Operating Board** - On a periodic basis, key significant risks across all programmes will be reported to OBT to ensure there us transparency and visibility of programme risk exposures and if required make informed decisions to mitigate reported risks.
- 4.8. **Audit and Governance Committee (AGC)** - TfN's corporate and key programme risks are reported to the AGC to provide assurance to the committee that risks, and challenges related to the programmes are being recognised, analysed, and robustly managed.
- 4.9. **TfN Board** - The ultimate decision-making body with regard to Transport for the North activity. As a result, TfN's corporate risks will be shared to the Board.
- 4.10. Qualitative risk management reporting will be produced after each risk assessment/ review.
- 4.11. The guiding principles are that reporting will be:
 - Understandable
 - Recognisable
 - Concise
 - Logical
 - Consistent
- 4.12. The below table outlines how risks will be reported internally. It summaries the key risk documents, the target audience, and the responsible owner(s) to ensure they are disseminated in a timely manner.

| Document | Target Audience | Schedule | Author/Responsible |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Risk Register | Programme Teams OBT | Ongoing | Risk Manager |
| Risk Dashboard & Key Risks | Programme Teams/EB/PB | Monthly | Risk Manager |
| Programme Key Risks | OBT | Monthly | Risk Manager |
| Qualitative Risk Report (QRR) | OBT | Quarterly | Risk Manager |

Table 8: TfN's Internal Risk Reporting

The following Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) chart shows the distribution of responsibilities and sets out who should be notified when one of the following risk reports is to be generated.

| Risk Document | Responsible | Accountable | Consulted | Informed |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| Programme Risk Monthly Report | RM | PD | PT | PD |
| Risk Register | PM / RM | PM | PT | PD |
| Risk Mitigation | PM | PM | PT | PT |
| Early Warning | PM | PM / PT | RM | RM |
| New Risk | PM | PM / PT | PT | PT |
| Risk Transfer | PM | PM | PT | PD |
| Risk Dashboard/ Key Risks | RM | RM | PM | EB/PB / SMT |
| Quarterly Qualitative Risk Report | RM | RM | PT | SMT |

Key:

- EB Executive Board
- PB Programme Board
- RM Risk Manager
- PM Project Manager
- PT Programme Team
- PD Programme Director
- SMT Senior Management Team

Table 9: Risk RACI Chart

5. Issue Management

- 5.1. Issue management plays an important part in TfN’s risk management approach. An issue arises when a risk has crystallised or materialised and the actual event is known and currently occurring or has a 100% probability of happening unless action is taken immediately.
- 5.2. When a group of high severity risks are judged as issues, it is a requirement for the programme team to report the issues to TfN’s Operating Board.




Figure 3: Issues Reporting

- 5.3. The following Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed (RACI) chart shows the distribution of responsibilities with the following issues reporting.

| Issue Document | Responsible | Accountable | Consulted | Informed |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
| Issue Register | PM / RM | PM | PT | PD |
| Issue resolution | PD | PD | PT | PM / PT |
| Issue Reporting | RM | PM / RM | PT | SMT |
| Issue Report | RM | RM | PT | PT / SMT |

Key: RM Risk Manager
 PM Project Manager
 PT Programme Team
 PD Programme Director
 SMT Senior Management Team

Table 10: Issues RACI Chart

Issue Management Process

- 5.4. As depicted below, the Issues Management process diagram depicted below follows a cyclical process with five discrete steps. The results from these steps are stored in the Programme Issues Register. The Programme Management Group will monitor the effectiveness of the issues process as a whole and will modify this procedure as appropriate as the organisation develops.

- 5.5. The Issues Management process will focus on critical issues, also known “showstoppers”. A showstopper is an event that is serious enough to halt an activity or a programme.
- 5.6. Unlike risk ranking, all critical issues will be treated as very significant (i.e. Very High) including those requiring external interface and internal resolution. Individual reviews will be held on a regular basis with issue owners to update the status of the issues.




Figure 4: Issues Management Process

- **Issue Identification:** the process by which issues are identified and recorded in an Issues Register. An event can either be identified originally as an issue or as a form of crystallised risk.
- **Issue Evaluation:** the process by which identified issues are analysed based on the information known. The output of this evaluation greatly assists in determining the appropriate management response strategy.
- **Issue Response Planning:** the creation of appropriate management response to the issues evaluated.
- **Issue Response Implementation:** the process by which management puts a response strategy in place to tackle the identified issues. Including the dissemination of mitigation strategies and the allocation / implementation of required responses.
- **Monitoring and Review:** This component enables the management team to evaluate the effect of the response strategy implemented. That is, the effectiveness of the mitigation strategies and actions is monitored.

6. Reference

Figures:

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: TfN's Risk Management Process | 8 |
| Figure 2: TfN's Reporting Structure | 15 |
| Figure 3: Issues Reporting | 17 |
| Figure 4: Issues Management Process | 18 |

Tables:

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1: Risk Categories | 10 |
| Table 2: Probability Impact Matrix for Risks..... | 11 |
| Table 3: Threat Scoring | 11 |
| Table 4: Probability Impact Matrix for Opportunities | 11 |
| Table 5: Opportunity Matrix..... | 11 |
| Table 6: Treatment Response Strategy (Threats) | 12 |
| Table 7: Treatment Response Strategy (Opportunities)..... | 12 |
| Table 8: TfN's Internal Risk Reporting..... | 16 |
| Table 9: Risk RACI Chart..... | 16 |
| Table 10: Issues RACI Chart..... | 17 |

7. Appendices

Appendix 1: 5x5 Threat and Opportunity Scoring Criteria

5 x 5 Threat Impact Scoring Criteria

| Likelihood Criteria | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | ≤5% | 6-25% | 26-50% | 51-74% | >75% |
| Impact Criteria | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
| Cost (Tier 1 - £0-£2m Budget) | £0 - £10k | £10k - £20k | £20k - £50k | £50k - £80k | £80k - £100k |
| Cost (Tier 2 - £2-6m Budget) | £0 - £40k | £40k - £80k | £80k - £200k | £200k - £320k | £320k - £400k |
| Cost (Tier 3 - £6-14m Budget) | £0 - £100k | £100k - £200k | £200k - £500k | £500k - £800k | £800k - £1m |
| Reputation | Minimal negative local media coverage quickly remedied /loss of trust and credibility | Minor negative local media coverage quickly remedied /loss of trust and credibility | Moderate negative regional media coverage/loss of trust and credibility | National short – term negative media coverage/considerable loss of trust and credibility | National long – term negative media coverage, significant loss of trust and credibility |
| External Relationship | Minimal strained relationship with partners/third parties | Minor strained relationship with partners/third parties | Moderate strained relationship with partners/third parties | Evidence of relationship issues with partners/third parties | Severe relationship issues with partners/third parties |
| Quality | Work is fit for purpose but may require minimal changes | Work is fit for purpose but may require minor changes | Moderate changes or specialist resource required to provide high quality outputs | Scope changes required to provide high quality outputs | Project outputs are not credible/robust, with no assurance and partners do not endorse reports |
| Time | 0 – 1 month | 1 – 3 months | 3 – 9 months | 9 – 12 months | 12 – 18 months |

5 x 5 Opportunity Scoring Criteria

| Likelihood Criteria | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | ≤5% | 6-25% | 26-50% | 51-74% | >75% |
| Impact Criteria | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
| Cost (Tier 1 - £0-£2m Budget) | £0 - -£10k | -£10k - -£20k | -£20k - -£50k | -£50k - -£80k | -£80k - -£100k |
| Cost (Tier 2 - £2-6m Budget) | £0 - -£40k | -£40k - -£80k | -£80k - -£200k | -£200k - -£320k | -£320k - -£400k |
| Cost (Tier 3 - £6-14m Budget) | -£0 - -£100k | -£100k - -£200k | -£200k - -£500k | -£500k--£800k | -£800k- -£1m |
| Reputation | Minimal positive local media coverage/ increase of trust and credibility | Minor positive local media coverage/increase of trust and credibility | Moderate positive regional media coverage/increase of trust and credibility | National short – term positive media coverage/considerable increase of trust and credibility | National long – term positive media coverage, significant increase of trust and credibility. |
| External Relationship | Minimal increase in TfN's relationships with partners/third parties | Minor increase in TfN's relationships with partners/third parties | Moderate increase in TfN's relationships with partners/third parties | There is considerable evidence that TfN's relationships with partners/third parties is increasing | Relationships with partners/third parties significantly increased, benefitting TfN's credibility |
| Quality | Work is high quality with minimal changes | Work is high quality with minor changes | Moderate changes and no additional specialist resource to provide very high-quality outputs | Scope changes not required to exceed high quality outputs | Exceeds credible/robust project output expectations, with assurance & partners endorse reports |
| Time | 0 – -1 month | -1 – -3 months | -3 – -9 months | -9 – -12 months | -12 – -18 months |